

**KUALITAS VISUM ET REPERTUM PERLUKAAN DI PUSKESMAS WILAYAH
SLEMAN YOGYAKARTA PERIODE 01 JANUARI 2009-31 DESEMBER 2014**

Sarah Monica Simaremare

Fakultas Kedokteran

Universitas Islam Indonesia

INTISARI

Latar Belakang : *Visum et Repertum* (VeR) adalah salah satu alat bukti yang sah di muka pengadilan. VeR terdiri dari 5 bagian tetap yaitu *Pro Justicia*, pendahuluan, pemberitaan, kesimpulan dan penutup. Penelitian beberapa tahun terakhir menunjukkan kemampuan dokter di Indonesia dalam pembuatan VeR belum seragam, masih banyak dijumpai VeR belum sesuai dengan ketentuan.

Tujuan: Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengetahui bagaimana kualitas VeR perlukaan di Puskesmas Rawat Inap Wilayah Sleman Yogyakarta periode 01 Januari 2009 - 31 Desember 2014.

Metode: Penelitian ini menggunakan rancangan deskriptif *cross sectional* yang mencakup semua data rekam medis dan VeR perlukaan di Puskesmas Rawat Inap Sleman Yogyakarta periode 01 Januari 2009 – 31 Desember 2014 dan memenuhi kriteria.

Hasil dan Pembahasan: Terdapat 42 VeR perlukaan periode 01 Januari 2009-31 Desember 2014 namun terekslusi 12 VeR, sehingga hanya 30 VeR yang memenuhi kriteria dan dapat dianalisis. Puskesmas Mlati II berkualitas sedang (50,85%), Minggir berkualitas buruk (41,42%) , Kalasan berkualitas baik (76,42%), Ngemplak I berkualitas sedang (55,82%).

Kesimpulan : Kualitas VeR perlukaan di Puskesmas Wilayah Sleman periode 01 Januari 2009–31 desember 2014 adalah sedang.

Kata Kunci : Kualitas, Visum et Repertum, Perlukaan, Puskesmas

**THE QUALITY VISUM ET REPERTUM OF INJURY IN PUSKESMAS SLEMAN
REGION DURING 01 JANUARY 2009 – 31 DECEMBER 2014**

Sarah Monica Simaremare

Faculty of Medicine

Islamic University of Indonesia

ABSTRACT

Background: *Visum et Repertum (VeR) was one of the legal evidence in court. VeR consist of 5 parts, i.e. Pro Justicia, Introduction, Reporting, Conclusion and Closing. Recent research showed that the competency of Indonesian GP in writing VeR were not adequate enough.*

Objective: *This study was conducted to measure the quality of VeR of injury in all Puskesmas Rawat Inap at Sleman Region during 01 January 2009-31 December 2014.*

Method: *This study used descriptive cross-sectional study was conducted to review and the VeR of injury cases in Puskesmas Rawat Inap at Sleman region during 01 January 2009-31 December 2014 and selected based on inclusion and exclusion criteria.*

Result and Discussion: *There were 42 Ver injury during 01 January 2009-31 December 2014 but the exclusion was 12 VeR, so that only 30 Ver who meet the criteria and can be analyzed. The result showed that the quality of VeR at Puskesmas Mlati II was moderate (50,85%), at Puskesmas Minggir was poor (41,42%), at Puskesmas Kalasan was good (76,42%) and at Puskesmas Ngemplak I was moderate (55,82%).*

Conclusion: *The quality of VeR of injury at Puskesmas in Sleman Region during 01 January 2009 – 31 December 2014 is moderate.*

Keywords: *Quality, Visum et Repertum, injury, Puskesmas*