TABLE OF CONTENTS

COVER PAGE i
APPROVAL PAGE ii
VALIDATION PAGE iii
ABSTRACT v
TABLE OF CONTENTS vi
LIST OF FIGURES ix
LIST OF TABLES xi
CHAPTER I INTRODUCTION 1
1.1 Background 1
1.2 Research Questions 4
1.3 Research Objectives 5
1.4 Limitations of the Research 5
1.5 Benefits of the Research 6
CHAPTER II LITERATURE REVIEW 7
2.1. Building Risk Assessment in Palbapang Village, Bantul 7
2.2 Buildings Vulnerability Study in Bantul Subjected to Earthquake 7
2.3 House Seismic Vulnerability and Mitigation in Yogyakarta 8
2.4 Fragility Curves for Residential Buildings in Developing Countries 8
CHAPTER III THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK 10
3.1 Earthquake 10
3.2 Seismicity of Indonesia 11
3.3 Banjarnegara Earthquake April 18th, 2018 13
3.4 Earthquake Intensity 16
3.5 Risk, Hazard, Vulnerability, Exposure, and Capacity 22
3.5.1 Risk 23
3.5.2 Hazard 23
3.5.3 Vulnerability 24
3.5.4 Exposure 25

3.6 HAZUS-MH 26
3.7 Building Inventory Classification 28
3.8 Estimation of Earthquake Damage to Buildings 33
3.8.1 Capacity Curve 34
3.8.2 Fragility Curve 36
CHAPTER IV METHODOLOGY 37
4.1 Introduction 37
4.2 Field Work Stage 37
4.2.1 Pre Field Work 37
4.2.2 Field Work 38
4.2.3 Post Field Work 39
4.3 Questionnaire Design 39
CHAPTER V RESULT AND DISCUSSION 41
5.1 General Building Stock (GBS) 41
5.2 Types and Damage States of Building in Study Ward 42
5.2.1 Types of Building in The Study Ward 43
5.2.2 Damage States of Building in the Study Area 48
5.3 The Seismic Design 56
5.4 HAZUS Building Damages Probability 60
5.4.1 Building Capacity Curves 60
5.4.2 Peak Building Response 62
5.4.3 HAZUS Fragility Curves 64
5.5 Cumulative and Discrete Probabilities 66
5.6 The Comparison of Actual and Estimated Damages 72
5.7 Fragility Curves Modification 76
CHAPTER VI CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION 84
6.1 Conclusion 84
6.2 Recommendation 85
REFERENCES 87
APPENDICES 92
APPENDIX-1: Data Collection Form 94

APPENDIX-2: Field Survey Recapitulation: Building Types 96
APPENDIX-3: Ground Motion Prediction Equation 110
APPENDIX-4: Fragility Curves Calculation 113

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. Share of Earthquakes Fatalities by Cause between 1950 – 1999 2
Figure 3.1 The Ring of Fire or Circum-Pacific Belt 10
Figure 3.2 Recorded Earthquake in Indonesia during 1992-2000 13
Figure 3.3 The epicenter of Mw 4,4 Banjarnegara Earthquake and the surrounding faults 15
Figure 3.4 The decline of Aftershocks Magnitude in Kalibening Sub-district 15
Figure 3.5 BMKG Shakemap of Banjarnegara earthquake 2018 22
Figure 3.6 Components of Risk 23
Figure 3.7 Example building capacity curve and demand spectrum 27
Figure 3.8 Example of Fragility Curves for states of damage 27
Figure 4.1 Research Framework 40
Figure 5.1 The Share of Masonry Houses Type in Kasinoman Village, Banjarnegara..... 46
Figure 5.2 The Share of Building Damages in Kasinoman Village..... 53
Figure 5.3 Response Spectra of Study Area Derived from GMPE..... 59
Figure 5.4 Response Spectra of Study Area Derived from PUSKIM, 2018..... 59
Figure 5.5 Capacity Curve for URML 61
Figure 5.6 Capacity Curve for C3L 62
Figure 5.7 Building Response for URML Building, Low- and Pre-Code 63
Figure 5.8 Building Response for C3L Building, Low- and Pre-Code..... 63
Figure 5.9 Fragility Curves for URML Building, Low-Code..... 65
Figure 5.10 Fragility Curves for URML Building, Pre-Code..... 65
Figure 5.11 Fragility Curves for C3L Building, Low-Code 66
Figure 5.12 Fragility Curves for C3L Building, Pre-Code 66
Figure 5.13 Discrete Damage Probabilities of URML Building at Low Code..... 68
Figure 5.14 Discrete Damage Probabilities of URML Building at Pre Code..... 68
Figure 5.15 Discrete Damage Probabilities of C3L Building at Low Code 69
Figure 5.16 Discrete Damage Probabilities of C3L Building at Pre Code 69
Figure 5.17 Estimated VS Actual Damages, URML Building 73

Figure 5.18 Estimated VS Actual Damages, C3L Building..... 74
Figure 5.19 Damage Distribution of URML building 75
Figure 5.20 Estimated VS Actual Damages, Total URML Building..... 76
Figure 5.21 Interstory Drift of URML Building, HAZUS VS Study Area..... 81
Figure 5.22 Fragility Curve for URML Building of the Study Area 82
Figure 5.23 Actual Vs Estimated Building Damages in Study Area After Modification 83

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1 Earthquake Magnitude Classes 11
Table 3.2 Ten Deadliest Disaster in Indonesia Between 1980 and 2015 12
Table 3.3 Description of the levels of Modified Mercalli Intensity (MMI) 16
Table 3.4 SIG-BMKG Scale compared to MMI Scale 21
Table 3.5 Types of Hazards 24
Table 3.6 Types of Vulnerability 25
Table 3.7 Building Structure Types 29
Table 3.8 Building Occupancy Class According to FEMA 30
Table 3.9 Example of Building Capacity Curve 35
Table 5.1 Indonesian Buildings and Corresponding HAZUS buildings 44
Table 5.2 Damage States of HAZUS for URM and C3 Building Types. 49
Table 5.3 Wall Cracks and corresponding HAZUS definition 50
Table 5.4 The Actual Damages of URML Building in Kasinoman Village 52
Table 5.5 The Actual Damages of C3L Building in Kasinoman Village 52
Table 5.6 Variation of Damage for Each Damage State, HAZUS 54
Table 5.7 MDR for URML Building 55
Table 5.8 MDR for C3L Building 55
Table 5. 9 The Actual Damage of Masonry Building in Kasinoman Village 56
Table 5.10 The MDR for All masonry Building in Kasinoman Village 56
Table 5.11 Values of SA and SD of the study ward 58
Table 5.12 HAZUS Capacity Curve Values for URML Building 61
Table 5.13 HAZUS Capacity Curve Values for C3L Building 61
Table 5.14 Peak Building Values for All Building Types 64
Table 5.15 Structural Fragility Curve Parameters for URML Buildings 64
Table 5.16 Structural Fragility Curve Parameters for C3L Buildings 65
Table 5.17 Cumulative Damage Probabilities of Building in The Study Area 67
Table 5.18 Discrete Damage Probabilities of Building in the Study Area 67
Table 5.19 The MDR of URML Building 71

Table 5.20 The MDF of C3L Building at Low and Pre Code Seismic Level..... 71
Table 5.21 Relative Error between Actual and Estimated Damage, URML-LowCode 75
Table 5.22 Relative Error between Actual and Estimated Damage, URML-PreCode 75
Table 5.23 Iteration of Bisection Method to Obtain Optimum Interstory Drift, Complete Damage States 78
Table 5.24 Iteration of Bisection Method to Obtain Optimum Interstory Drift, Extensive Damage States 79
Table 5.25 Iteration of Bisection Method to Obtain Optimum Interstory Drift, Moderate Damage States..... 80
Table 5.26 Iteration of Bisection Method to Obtain Optimum Interstory Drift, Slight Damage States 80
Table 5.27 The Initial and Iterated Values of Interstory Drift 81
Table 5.28 Discrete Damage of URML Building in the Study Area 82